


# Model Development and Initial Results for Yellowfin Tuna

P14 – Arni Magnusson



# Catch Conditioning and Hessian

Initial model development conducted so far has revolved mainly around two important technical improvements that have been encouraged at previous PAW and SC meetings:

- Catch conditioning and survey index likelihood for CPUE
- Application of techniques that can help achieve a positive definite Hessian convergence

# Catch Conditioning and Hessian

The 2022 SKJ assessment implemented catch conditioning and during follow-up work after that assessment, John Hampton achieved a positive definite Hessian convergence for newly developed SKJ model runs

Following these successes, he has made similar improvements to exploratory BET and YFT runs

# Shiny Demo

Introduction

Fitting diagnostics

Fits to data

Model outputs

Stock status

About

**Select models**

- 01b\_Ten\_Phases
- 02a\_Nonzero\_Maturity
- 02b\_Version\_2100
- 03a\_Script\_Format
- 03b\_Reorder\_Within\_Phases
- 03bx\_Reorder\_Within\_Phases\_regrec
- 03c\_Adjust\_Fmax
- 03d\_Finit\_Zero
- 03e\_Fish\_Grouping\_Tag\_Return
- 03f\_Maturity\_Step\_One
- 03g\_Move\_Coeff
- 03h\_Catch\_Conditioning
- 03i\_Iterations\_Gradients
- 03l\_John\_Development

## Fitting diagnostics

### Likelihood components and gradients

Model	Npar	ObjFun	CPUE	Length	Weight	Age	Tags	Penalties	Gradient
01b_Ten_Phases	11671	-1952958	1848	-266401	-1704102	3474	10590	1633	0.00895
02a_Nonzero_Maturity	11671	-1952958	1848	-266401	-1704101	3474	10590	1633	0.00983
02b_Version_2100	11671	-1953012	1869	-266395	-1704024	3472	10482	1584	0.00826
03a_Script_Format	11671	-1953012	1869	-266395	-1704024	3472	10482	1584	0.00826
03b_Reorder_Within_Phases	11671	-1953012	1869	-266395	-1704024	3472	10482	1584	0.00826
03bx_Reorder_Within_Phases_regrec	11671	-1953536	1771	-266503	-1704126	3445	10451	1426	0.00977
03c_Adjust_Fmax	11671	-1953012	1869	-266395	-1704024	3472	10482	1584	0.00826
03d_Finit_Zero	11671	-1953063	1838	-266398	-1704058	3387	10589	1580	0.00898
03e_Fish_Grouping_Tag_Return	11671	-1953041	1860	-266395	-1704014	3460	10481	1566	0.00806
03f_Maturity_Step_One	11671	-1953032	1861	-266384	-1704021	3472	10477	1564	0.00911
03g_Move_Coeff	11671	-1953059	1873	-266400	-1704014	3463	10461	1559	0.00909
03h_Catch_Conditioning	2963	-1954502	871	-266507	-1704159	3382	10570	1341	0.00956
03i_Iterations_Gradients	2963	-1954515	866	-266480	-1704118	3339	10540	1339	0.00001
03l_John_Development	2964	-1954465	876	-266569	-1704169	3489	10699	1210	0.00075


# Two Focus Topics

We have compiled recommendations provided by the Peer Review panel (Punt et al. 2023), the previous stock assessor (Vincent et al. 2020, Section 8.4), along with PAW and SC feedback, which have guided our internal discussions and exploratory analyses

So far, the SAM team has prioritized two focus topics for the YFT 2023 assessment that have formed a broad theme for the ongoing analyses presented at our weekly technical meetings:

1. Regional scaling
2. Spatial structure


# Regional Scaling



The vast majority of catches are caught in the Equatorial regions (3, 4, 7, and 8)


# Regional Scaling

Catches




Catch and CPUE data indicate that around 80% are in the Equatorial regions, and around 20% are in the Northern & Southern regions

Decadal YFT CPUE - All fleets



# Regional Scaling




What does the model say about the proportion of the biomass that is in the Equatorial regions?

80% perhaps?




# Regional Scaling

45% of the biomass is in the  
Northern & Southern regions




55% of the biomass is in  
the Equatorial regions


# Regional Scaling



# Regional Scaling



# Regional Scaling




# Regional Scaling

To a great extent, the stock assessment estimation of population trends and spatial distribution are determined by the analytical approach used to produce the CPUE indices

The spatial distribution in the YFT 2020 assessment is considerably different from the general indications in the data, as the model puts too much biomass in the North and South areas

This could introduce a bias in the stock status estimates and thus undermine the reliability of the management advice; we are therefore exploring alternative analytical approaches to produce the CPUE indices



# Recruitment by Region



Enough about  
biomass  
by region...


Let's revisit  
recruitment  
by region

# Recruitment by Region



# Recruitment by Region

## 2020 Assessment




2020 assessment -  
no recruitment in  
region 8



# Recruitment by Region

## 2017 Assessment




2017 assessment -  
no recruitment in  
region 4

2020 assessment -  
no recruitment in  
region 8

# Recruitment by Region

## 2014 Assessment




2014 assessment -  
no recruitment in  
region 3, 4, 8

2017 assessment -  
no recruitment in  
region 4

2020 assessment -  
no recruitment in  
region 8

# Recruitment by Region

## 2023 Assessment\*



2014 assessment -  
no recruitment in  
region 3, 4, 8

2017 assessment -  
no recruitment in  
region 4

2020 assessment -  
no recruitment in  
region 8

2023 assessment -  
no recruitment in  
region 4, 5, 8

# Spatial Structure

As pointed out in recommendations regarding the YFT 2020 assessment, there are indications that the high complexity of the model makes the statistical estimation unstable

As a result, some of the model predictions fluctuate between unrealistic scenarios in a manner that could undermine the reliability and usefulness of the model as a basis for providing management advice

It appears that the estimation of processes such as recruitment and movement between 9 regions would require more informative data than what is available

# Spatial Structure

As pointed out in recommendations regarding the YFT 2020 assessment, there are indications that the high complexity of the model makes the statistical estimation unstable

As a result, some of the model predictions fluctuate between unrealistic scenarios in a manner that could undermine the reliability and usefulness of the model as a basis for providing management advice

It appears that the estimation of processes such as recruitment and movement between 9 regions would require more informative data than what is available

# Exploratory Model Runs

Diagnostic 2020  
New Executable  
Catch Conditioning  
Initial Population Tweaks  
Reporting Rate Tweaks

New CPUE Approach

Richards Growth

Dirichlet Multinomial

New Sample Sizes

Four Regions

New Fishery Groupings

Alternative Movement

Conditional Age (bet)

Tag Mixing

Length-based selectivity

Add New Data

# Improvements in the Toolchain

Tool	Purpose	Feature	Improvement
<a href="#">MFCL</a>	Fit model	Objective function	Consistent +/- sign of objfun, also in .par
<a href="#">FLR4MFCL</a>	R-to-MFCL	MFCLLikelihood	Reads in negative objfun, reads in Age likelihood
"		Parameter correlation	read.MFCLCor, mat2MFCLCor, corFilter, corLabel
"		Compare flags	diffFlags, diffFlagsStepwise, flagMeaning
"		Find model results	finalPar, finalRep
<a href="#">Shiny</a>	Explore runs	Code reorganization	Easier to adapt to new stock, common trunk
"		Likelihood table	Includes CPUE and Age
"		Official app collection	In one place, ofp-sam-shinyMFCL
<a href="#">condor</a>	R-to-Condor	Package on CRAN	User scripts become much shorter
"		Submit/list/download	Efficient and reliable Condor runs: stepwise and grid
<a href="#">makeit</a>	Automation	Package on CRAN	One R script runs other R scripts when needed
"		Run R scripts if needed	Efficient and reliable R jobs: all plots and tables
<a href="#">Proper</a>	Project mgmt	Standard dir structure	Allows us to develop and use shared tools across stocks
"		"	Guarantee that next assessor can find and reuse all components
"		R script checks	Strengthens reproducibility of analyses